BEST AVAILABLE COPY

| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | 10/700,928 | CAMPBELL ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Adam C. Rehm | 2875 |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | |
| (1) Adam C. Rehm. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Dennis F. Armijo</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 29 November 2005. | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative] | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 5-8 and 12</u> . | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> . | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A. | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney proposed to amend claims 1, 5-8 and 12 (see attached proposed amendment draft). Upon review, Examiner stated that the proposed amendment, with agreement of the Primary, would overcome the rejections set forth in the Final Action of 11/1/2005. It was agreed to enter the proposed amendment via Examiner's Amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | |
| • | | · |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an | PRIMA | N CARIASO RY EXAMINER |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Patent and Trademark office via informal facsimile number attention Examiner Adam C. Rehm at fax number (571) 273-8589 and addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313 on the 30th day of November, 2005.

H0005818-5722

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: William T. Campbell, et al.,

Serial No.: 10/700,928 Art Unit No. 2875

Filing Date: November 3, 2003 Examiner: Adam C. Rehm

Invention Title: DUAL MODE DISPLAY WITH A BA

DUAL MODE DISPLAY WITH A BACKLIGHT FILTER

FOR AN UNACTIVATED LIGHT EMITTING DIODE

(LED)

TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

INFORMAL AMENDMENT

ATTN:

Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is in response to the office action of November 1, 2005. Please amend the application, without prejudice, as follows:

In the Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A multimode backlight for a display comprising:

at least one first illumination source comprising a first mode;

an NVIS a first filter adjacent to said at least one first
illumination source, wherein said first filter comprises an NVIS filter;

at least one second illumination source comprising a second mode; and

a <u>second</u> filter means adjacent to said at least one second illumination source for suppressing an excitation of said at least one second illumination source caused by said at least one first illumination source.

- 2. (Original) The invention of claim 1 further comprising apertures for limiting an exposure to said NVIS filter from illumination from said at least one second illumination source.
- 3. (Original) The invention of claim 2 wherein the apertures comprise different sized apertures for a uniform distribution of a light from the at least one first illumination source.
- 4. (Original) The invention of claim 1 wherein said at least one first illumination source comprises at least one light emitting diode comprising a first color and said at least one second illumination source comprise at least one light emitting diode comprising a second color.

- 5. (Currently Amended) The invention of claim 1 wherein said second filter means comprises a filter for attenuating a first predetermined wavelength and for transmitting a second predetermined wavelength.
- 6. (Currently Amended) The invention of claim 5 wherein said second filter means for attenuating a first predetermined wavelength comprises attenuating phosphorescent light emitted by the at least one second illumination source.
- 7. (Currently Amended) The invention of claims 1 wherein said second filter means comprises a hot mirror.
- 8. (Currently Amended) The invention of claim 1 wherein said second filter means comprises a notch filter.
 - 9. (Canceled)
 - 10. (Canceled)
 - 11. (Canceled)
- 12. (Original) A method of multimode backlighting of a display, the method comprising the steps of:
- a) filtering a first illumination source comprising a first mode with a NVIS first filter, wherein the first filter comprises an NVIS filter; and
- b) suppressing an excitation of a second illumination source comprising a second mode caused by said first illumination source with a <u>second</u> filter.

- 13. (Original) The method of claim 12 further comprising the step of limiting an exposure to the NVIS filter from illumination from the second illumination source with limiting apertures.
- 14. (Original) The method of claim 12 wherein the step of suppressing comprises attenuating a first predetermined wavelength and for transmitting a second predetermined wavelength.
- 15. (Original) The method of claim 14 wherein the step of attenuating a first predetermined wavelength comprises attenuating phosphorescent light emitted by the at least one second illumination source.
 - 16. (Canceled)
 - 17. (Canceled)

Having responded to each and every objection and rejection raised by the Examiner, it is believed that the patent application is now in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions or suggestions for expediting an allowance in this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned collect.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayment under 37 CFR §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required during the entire pendency of the application to Deposit Account No. 01-1125.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 1, 2005

By:

Dennis F. Armijo

Reg. No. 34,116

Dennis F. Armijo, Esq. c/o HONEYWELL, INC. Patent Services Group Post Office Box 2245 Morristown, NJ 07962-2245

Telephone: (505) 899-0269 Facsimile: (505) 890-3431

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.